ATTACHMENT F - SUMMARY OF PUBLIC COMMENTS RECEIVED

Oral Comments at DFC Hearing:

During the public hearing, LSGCD received oral comments from 13 individuals and entities representing themselves or entities in Montgomery County. With the exception of Quadvest, L.P., all oral commentors reside in or represent The Woodlands. In order of appearance, the following individuals and entities provided oral comments to the LSGCD Board of Directors on the proposed DFCs at the public hearing. More detail information regarding each oral comment received is available in the meeting minutes in **Attachment __**.

1. Penny Bradshaw, a resident of The Woodlands, began by reading two recent articles she had found. She cited groundwater levels are increasingly diminishing, while some of the supplies are already gone. Ms. Bradshaw believes aquifers are in danger, which she believes in connected to rapid food loss and consumer products. She believes a solution is available, and that needs to be a solution of groundwater and surface water from Large Volume Groundwater Users.

2. Laura Norton, a resident of The Woodlands, supports the 80% remaining available drawdown metric.

3. Ron Kelling, the Deputy General Manager of SJRA supports the 80% remaining available drawdown metric and the average of 1 foot subsidence metric. SJRA owns 38 groundwater wells in The Woodlands, an area susceptible to subsidence. He would like the LSGCD to focus on a sustainable water-supply for future generations of Montgomery County using SJRA's surface water and infrastructure.

4. Bob Lux, resident and homeowner in The Woodlands, asked for a definition of political conservatism. He believes LSGCD should focus on a conservative approach to groundwater production and supply. He believes LSGCD should cap groundwater production to help slow the subsidence throughout our area.

5. Carol Stromall, resident of The Woodlands, asks LSGCD to accept the DFC proposed by GMA 14 including subsidence as a metric. She wishes this for future generations and states she sees evidence of subsidence while driving throughout the area.

6. Betty Daugherty, a resident of The Woodlands and Director of MUD 60, asked to be heard on behalf of Sue Ellen Myers. Ms. Myers wants LSGCD to factor in subsidence and acknowledge it in their DFC.

7. George Newman, resident of The Woodlands, expressed his appreciation to LSGCD for their work with subsidence studies. He supports a DFC that would specify maximum allowable subsidence and believes more data needs to be reviewed and more studies need to be done.

8. Ms. Rashmi Gupta, resident of The Woodlands, supports the DFC recommendation inclusive of the subsidence metric.

9. Deidre Eckland, professional engineer and board member of MUD 46 in The Woodlands, acknowledged the issues are complicated and complex, even for one with her professional background. Ms. Eckland issued a warning on property value decreasing if subsidence continues. She also believes additional data is needed to truly assess what the negative effects of Montgomery subsidence can cause. She asked the board to continue their research and data assessments in the future.

10. Dr. Shelley Gibbs, resident of The Woodlands, believes the GMA 14 Proposed DFC seems like a reasonable balance between private property rights and those who manage infrastructure. She sees the importance of that balance and believes the only way to measure that is by measuring subsidence across the entire county. She also requested putting subsidence into the District DFC and continued measurement of groundwater levels with data is extremely valuable.

11. Simon Sequiera, CEO of Quadvest Utilities, spoke to the board on behalf of his company and the thousands of customers he serves. He asked the board to not accept the 1 ft subsidence DFC, any subsidence DFC or the proposed pumping limits stating that subsidence issue is an economic issue not a DFC issue.

12. John Yoars, resident of The Woodlands, spoke of the 14 active subsidence measurement devices noting that two PAM sites, one located in The Woodlands, and one located at the Southeast of Kingwood, do not show decline in land surface elevations since the beginning of their measurement data in 2009. Mr. Yoars asked the Board to use a DFC with 80% median drawdown with 1.0 additional feet of subsidence.

13. Mark Unland, resident of The Woodlands, is concerned about neighbors to the east. Mr. Unland asked the LSGCD to define negligently causing subsidence and how will LSGCD prevent pumpers from negligently causing subsidence.

Written Public Comments Received During Public Comment Period

During the public comment period, comments were received from 122 individuals and entities representing themselves or entities in Montgomery and Harris Counties. All of the written comments received are compiled in **Attachment __.**

1. The Chateau Woods Municipal Utility District submitted a resolution in support of the 70% remaining available drawdown DFC and against a subsidence DFC.

2. The East Montgomery County Municipal Utility District No. 3 submitted a resolution in support of the 70% remaining available drawdown DFC and against a subsidence DFC.

3. The East Montgomery County Municipal Utility District No. 4 submitted a resolution in support of the 70% remaining available drawdown DFC and against a subsidence DFC.

4. The Montgomery County Utility District No. 4 submitted a resolution in support of the 70% remaining available drawdown DFC and against a subsidence DFC.

5. The City of Roman Forest submitted a resolution in support of the 70% remaining available drawdown DFC and against a subsidence DFC.

6. The Keenan Water Supply Corporation submitted a resolution in support of the 70% remaining available drawdown DFC and against a subsidence DFC.

7. The City of Cut and Shoot submitted a resolution in support of the 70% remaining available drawdown DFC and against a subsidence DFC.

8. The City of Magnolia submitted a resolution in support of the 70% remaining available drawdown DFC and against a subsidence DFC.

9. The City of Panorama Village submitted a resolution in support of the 70% remaining available drawdown DFC and against a subsidence DFC.

10. The City of Shenandoah submitted a resolution in support of the 70% remaining available drawdown DFC and against a subsidence DFC.

11. Marty Jones, on behalf of Quadvest, LP and Woodland Oaks Utility, L.P., submitted comments on July 14, 2021, in opposition to a subsidence DFC. He also raised concerns over joint planning has been conducted stating that the process gives certain stakeholders (e.g., HGSD and FBSD) disproportionately influence over the DFC process than other stakeholders. Mr. Jones also objected to Mr. Wade Oliver's participation in the GMA 14 DFC process contending Mr. Oliver has an "irreconcilable conflict of interest" in that he has performed services for SJRA, whose agenda is contrary to the interests of his clients and their customers. Mr. Jones attached four recent cases and offers his analysis of same.

12. Webb Melder submitted written comments on July 22, 2021, in opposition to a subsidence DFC and any DFC that is drawn by political boundaries instead of hydrogeological boundaries.

13. The City of Conroe submitted a resolution in support of the 70% remaining available drawdown DFC and against a subsidence DFC. Conroe also submitted a letter explaining the 2016 DFC petition process and the need for GMA 14 to revise the DFCs accordingly stating that a subsidence metric is not in compliance with the Agreed Proposal for Decision, the Final Order, or GMA 14's statutory duty under Chapter 36.

14. Carl Gerhardt submitted comments on July 1, 2021, stating that he does not believe there a subsidence problem in Montgomery County and if one does exist, it is far less than the problem in Harris County. He requests that the Board use real data (not models) and to keep politics out of decision making.

15. Alex Bucher submitted written comments on May 6, 2021, stating that compaction is occurring from the top down as the lands dry out and water shed is minimized. He expressed concerns about how much water is being supplied to the City of Houston while pumping limits are being discussed in Montgomery County.

16. Dede Fox submitted written comments on July 14, 2021, urging District to limit groundwater production and prevent subsidence.

17. Na Tarsua Player, a resident of The Woodlands submitted written comments on July 16, 2021, requesting LSGCD to reduce groundwater production and include a subsidence measurement requirement.

18. Bob (no last name) submitted written comments on May 19, 2021, requesting that as little groundwater as possible be produced.

19. Ann Milton submitted written comments on July 19, 2021, requesting LSGCD reduce to groundwater to sustainable level and adopt the subsidence metric.

20. Charlotte Miller submitted written comments on July 23, 2021, requesting LSGCD to reduce groundwater to sustainable level and adopt the subsidence metric.

21. Pam Davidson submitted written comments on July 19, 2021, requesting LSGCD to reduce groundwater to sustainable level and adopt the subsidence metric.

22. Carolyn Satcherwhite submitted written comments on July 19, 2021, requesting LSGCD to reduce groundwater to sustainable level and adopt the subsidence metric.

23. Susan Reese submitted written comments on July 18, 2021, requesting LSGCD to reduce groundwater to sustainable level and adopt the subsidence metric.

24. Herb Hoover submitted written comments on May 6, 2021, requesting LSGCD to give consideration to adjusting lake levels as a way to address subsidence.

25. Therese Laurian submitted written comments on July 1, 2021, requesting LSGCD to adopt the subsidence metric.

26. Carolyn Newman submitted written comments on July 14, 2021, requesting LSGCD to reduce groundwater to sustainable level and adopt the subsidence metric.

27. Ivan Morin submitted written comments on July 16, 2021, requesting LSGCD to reduce groundwater to sustainable level and adopt the subsidence metric.

28. North Houston Association submitted written comments on June 29, 2021, requesting LSGCD to reduce groundwater to sustainable level and adopt the subsidence metric

29. Lisa Sagstetter submitted written comments on June 17, 2021, expressing concern over subsidence and asking LSGCD to use surface water.

30. Southern Montgomery County MUD submitted written comments on May 19, 2021, supporting the proposed DFCs.

31. Rayford Road MUD submitted written comments on July 13, 2021, requesting LSGCD to reduce groundwater to sustainable level and adopt the subsidence metric.

32. Anna Mitchell submitted written comments on July 17, 2021, requesting LSGCD to reduce groundwater to sustainable level and adopt the subsidence metric.

33. Alan Smith submitted written comments on June 26, 2021, requesting LSGCD to reduce groundwater to sustainable level and adopt the subsidence metric.

34. Robert Rehak submitted written comments on July 16, 2021, requesting LSGCD to reduce groundwater to sustainable level and adopt the subsidence metric.

35. Cynthia Howlett submitted written comments on July 16, 2021, requesting LSGCD to reduce groundwater to sustainable level and adopt the subsidence metric

36. Don Grimm submitted written comments on July 18, 2021, requesting LSGCD to reduce groundwater to sustainable level and adopt the subsidence metric.

37. Daniel Roddy submitted written comments on July 19, 2021, requesting LSGCD to reduce groundwater to sustainable level and adopt the subsidence metric.

38. Frank Westmoreland submitted written comments on July 18, 2021, requesting LSGCD to reduce groundwater to sustainable level and adopt the subsidence metric.

39. John Cochran submitted written comments on July 17, 2021, requesting LSGCD to reduce groundwater to sustainable level and adopt the subsidence metric.

40. Ken Beeney submitted written comments on July 17, 2021, requesting LSGCD to reduce groundwater to sustainable level and adopt the subsidence metric.

41. Pam Davison submitted written comments on July 19, 2021, requesting LSGCD to reduce groundwater to sustainable level and adopt the subsidence metric.

42. Pat Taul submitted written comments on July 16, 2021, requesting LSGCD to reduce groundwater to sustainable level and adopt the subsidence metric.

43. Renee Copeland submitted written comments on July 19, 2021, requesting LSGCD to reduce groundwater to sustainable level and adopt the subsidence metric.

44. Robin Hornback submitted written comments on July 19, 2021, requesting LSGCD to reduce groundwater to sustainable level and adopt the subsidence metric.

45. John Biavaschi submitted written comments on June 6, 2021, requesting LSGCD to reduce groundwater to sustainable level and adopt the subsidence metric.

46. Bill Beran submitted written comments on April 24, 2021, requesting LSGCD to reduce groundwater to sustainable level, adopt the subsidence metric, and consider reuse.

47. Carol Ortiz submitted written comments on June 24, 2021, and July 18, 2021, requesting LSGCD to reduce groundwater to sustainable level and adopt the subsidence metric.

48. Linda Pierce submitted written comments on July 20, 2021, requesting LSGCD to reduce groundwater to sustainable level and adopt the subsidence metric.

49. Carey Yeager submitted written comments on June 4, 2021, requesting LSGCD to reduce groundwater to sustainable level and adopt the subsidence metric.

50. Amanda Melones submitted written comments on July 11, 2021, requesting LSGCD to reduce groundwater to sustainable level and adopt the subsidence metric.

51. Betty Daugherty submitted written comments on July 18, 2021, requesting LSGCD to reduce groundwater to sustainable level and adopt the subsidence metric.

52. Bridget Fitzgerald submitted written comments on July 19, 2021, requesting LSGCD to reduce groundwater to sustainable level and adopt the subsidence metric.

53. Robert Leilich submitted written comments on July 13, 2021, requesting LSGCD to reduce groundwater to sustainable level and adopt the subsidence metric. He also stated that all users in Montgomery County should be required to use and pay for more expensive surface water.

54. Barbi Petty submitted written comments on July 11, 2021, requesting LSGCD to reduce groundwater to sustainable level and adopt the subsidence metric.

55. Dennis Van Metre submitted written comments on July 12, 2021, requesting LSGCD to reduce groundwater to sustainable level and adopt the subsidence metric.

56. Denise Watkins submitted written comments on July 17, 2021, requesting LSGCD to reduce groundwater to sustainable level and adopt the subsidence metric.

57. Jim Fredericks submitted written comments on July 18, 2021, requesting LSGCD to reduce groundwater to sustainable level and adopt the subsidence metric.

58. Janet Hanley submitted written comments on July 16, 2021, requesting LSGCD to reduce groundwater to sustainable level and adopt the subsidence metric.

59. Joe Lindl submitted written comments on July 18, 2021, requesting LSGCD to reduce groundwater to sustainable level and adopt the subsidence metric.

60. Jack O'Sullivan submitted written comments on July 18, 2021, requesting LSGCD to adopt the subsidence metric.

61. Kara Cook submitted written comments on July 20, 2021, requesting LSGCD to reduce groundwater to sustainable level and adopt the subsidence metric.

62. Lucia Queen submitted written comments on July 19, 2021, requesting LSGCD to reduce groundwater to sustainable level and adopt the subsidence metric.

63. Mary Favret submitted written comments on July 19, 2021, requesting LSGCD to reduce groundwater to sustainable level and adopt the subsidence metric.

64. Morganne Reed submitted written comments on July 19, 2021, requesting LSGCD to reduce groundwater to sustainable level and adopt the subsidence metric.

65. Mark Unland submitted written comments on July 22, 2021, requesting LSGCD to reduce groundwater to sustainable level and adopt the subsidence metric. He also suggested changing the "median" to "average" and encourages all players to "bury the hatchet."

66. Peggy Roscoe submitted written comments on July 19, 2021, requesting LSGCD to reduce groundwater to sustainable level and adopt the subsidence metric.

67. Rose Pinciaro submitted written comments on July 12, 2021, requesting LSGCD to reduce groundwater to sustainable level and adopt the subsidence metric.

68. Regina Tennant submitted written comments on July 12, 2021, requesting LSGCD to reduce groundwater to sustainable level and adopt the subsidence metric.

69. Su Ellen Myers submitted written comments on July 19, 2021, requesting LSGCD to reduce groundwater to sustainable level and adopt the subsidence metric.

70. SJRA submitted written comments on July 1, 2021, correcting what SJRA contends are misstatements by the LSGCD Board of Directors.

71. Jonathan Roach submitted written comments on behalf of Spring Creek Utility District Board of Directors on July 23, 2021, in support of the DFCs as proposed stating that additional groundwater should be allowed to be produced while also monitoring subsidence.

72. Tiffany Glover submitted written comments on July 11, 2021, requesting LSGCD to reduce groundwater to sustainable level and adopt the subsidence metric.

73. Four-Hundred-Fifty-Three residents of The Woodlands signed written comments submitted on July 19, 2021, requesting LSGCD to reduce groundwater to sustainable level and

adopt the subsidence metric. The reasons supporting their request include conflicting opinions on what pumping is sustainable without causing harm; subsidence cannot be reversed and will contribute to more flooding; subsidence causes disturbances in fault lines; more groundwater pumping will increase water rates to those that rely on surface water; and there is more than enough surface water to meet water demand.

74. Linda Pierce submitted written comments on July 20, 2021, requesting LSGCD to reduce groundwater to sustainable level and adopt the subsidence metric.

75. Todd Stephens, on behalf of the The Woodlands Township, submitted written comments on July 19, 2021, requesting LSGCD to reduce groundwater to sustainable level and adopt the subsidence metric.

76. Pamela Fontenot submitted written comments on June 16, 2021, requesting LSGCD to adopt the subsidence metric.

77. Ana Cosio submitted written comments on May 11, 2021, stating that DFC is too aggressive and will cause more subsidence.

78. John Yoars submitted written comments on June 3, 2021, expressing his concerns if additional groundwater is produced, providing graphs and data from various PAM sites, and stating he believes subsidence is a county-wide problem.

79. Arthur Klavan submitted written comments on April 29, 2021, requesting LSGCD to reduce groundwater to sustainable level and adopt the subsidence metric.

80. Robert Leilich submitted written comments on June 4, 2021, expressing his concern over subsidence and providing different data points to support his opinion.

81. Valerie Baker submitted written comments on June 6, 2021, requesting LSGCD to reduce groundwater to sustainable level and adopt the subsidence metric.

82. Beth Macy submitted written comments on June 6, 2021, requesting LSGCD to reduce groundwater to sustainable level and adopt the subsidence metric.

83. Alicia Filley submitted written comments on June 30, 2021, requesting LSGCD to adopt the subsidence metric.

84. Bradley Schuster submitted written comments on July 1, 2021, requesting LSGCD to reduce groundwater to sustainable level and adopt the subsidence metric. He also suggested limiting growth and not allowing grandfathering of any permits or usage limits.

85. Claude Humbert submitted written comments in support of the proposed DFCs.

86. Diane Sullivan submitted written comments on July 19, 2021, requesting LSGCD to reduce groundwater to sustainable level and adopt the subsidence metric.

87. Elena Woods submitted written comments on July 16, 2021, requesting LSGCD to reduce groundwater to sustainable level and adopt the subsidence metric.

88. John Hollie submitted written comments on July 19, 2021, requesting LSGCD to adopt the subsidence metric and use a "maximum of one foot."

89. Joyce Richmond submitted written comments on July 8, 2021, requesting LSGCD to reduce groundwater to sustainable level and adopt the subsidence metric.

90. Jane Kelso Winter submitted written comments on July 20, 2021, requesting LSGCD to reduce groundwater to sustainable level and adopt the subsidence metric.

91. Jennifer Conner submitted written comments on June 19, 2021, requesting LSGCD to reduce groundwater to sustainable level and adopt the subsidence metric.

92. Jessica Bohlmann submitted written comments on May 5, 2021, requesting LSGCD to reduce groundwater to sustainable level.

93. Kathleen Miller submitted written comments on July 11, 2021, requesting LSGCD to reduce groundwater to sustainable level and adopt the subsidence metric.

94. Murphy DeLaune submitted written comments on July 8, 2021, requesting LSGCD to reduce groundwater to sustainable level and adopt the subsidence metric.

95. Mistie Sifuentes submitted written comments on July 11, 2021, requesting LSGCD to reduce groundwater to sustainable level and adopt the subsidence metric.

96. Patricia Goodpastor submitted written comments on July 11, 2021, requesting LSGCD to adopt the subsidence metric.

97. Pam Slocomb submitted written comments on July 19, 2021, requesting LSGCD to adopt the subsidence metric.

98. Sheila Corley submitted written comments on July 12, 2021, requesting LSGCD to reduce groundwater to sustainable level and adopt the subsidence metric.

99. Stacey Eicks submitted written comments on July 20, 2021, requesting LSGCD to reduce groundwater to sustainable level and adopt the subsidence metric.

100. Steven Fantazia submitted written comments on July 17, 2021, requesting LSGCD to adopt the subsidence metric and to make it a maximum level.

101. Sharla Hein submitted written comments on July 4, 2021, discussing views on interplay between subsidence and flooding.

102. Thomas Wahlheim submitted written comments on July 15, 2021, requesting LSGCD to adopt the subsidence metric and to make it a maximum level.

103. Reese Mitchell submitted written comments on May 13, 2021, requesting LSGCD to take subsidence into consideration.

104. Lloyd Mitchell submitted written comments on June 1, 2021, stating his support to minimize subsidence.

105. Dave Ripley submitted written comments on June 22, 2021, requesting LSGCD to reduce groundwater to sustainable level.

106. The Woodlands Metro Center Municipal Utility District submitted a resolution relaying concerns over proposed DFCs and requesting a DFC that eliminates subsidence.

107. The Woodlands Water Agency Board of Trustees submitted a resolution relaying concerns over proposed DFCs and requesting a DFC that eliminates subsidence.

108. Montgomery County Municipal Utility District No. 1 submitted a resolution relaying concerns over proposed DFCs and requesting a DFC that eliminates subsidence.

109. Montgomery County Municipal Utility District No. 6 submitted a resolution relaying concerns over proposed DFCs and requesting a DFC that eliminates subsidence.

110. Montgomery County Municipal Utility District No. 7 submitted a resolution relaying concerns over proposed DFCs and requesting a DFC that eliminates subsidence.

111. Montgomery County Municipal Utility District No. 36 submitted a resolution relaying concerns over proposed DFCs and requesting a DFC that eliminates subsidence.

112. Montgomery County Municipal Utility District No. 39 submitted a resolution relaying concerns over proposed DFCs and requesting a DFC that eliminates subsidence.

113. Montgomery County Municipal Utility District No. 46 submitted a resolution relaying concerns over proposed DFCs and requesting a DFC that eliminates subsidence.

114. Montgomery County Municipal Utility District No. 47 submitted a resolution relaying concerns over proposed DFCs and requesting a DFC that eliminates subsidence.

115. Montgomery County Municipal Utility District No. 60 submitted a resolution relaying concerns over proposed DFCs and requesting a DFC that eliminates subsidence.

116. Montgomery County Municipal Utility District No. 67 submitted a resolution relaying concerns over proposed DFCs and requesting a DFC that eliminates subsidence.

117. Myran San Marco submitted written comments on June 7, 2021, requesting LSGCD to reduce groundwater to sustainable level and adopt the subsidence metric.

118. Michael Mechaley submitted written comments on May 28, 2021, requesting LSGCD to reduce groundwater to sustainable level and adopt the subsidence metric.

119. Jeff Singleton submitted written comments on June 2, 2021, stating that users should not relying on groundwater.

120. Roland Johnson, on behalf of Montgomery County MUD 67, submitted written comments on June 1, 2021, requesting LSGCD to reduce groundwater to sustainable level and adopt the subsidence metric. Mr. Johnson also submitted various graphs and data in support of his position.

121. Staci Kemnade submitted written comments on June 7, 2021, requesting LSGCD to reduce groundwater to sustainable level and adopt the subsidence metric.

122. Murray Smith submitted written comments on June 22, 2021, requesting LSGCD to reduce groundwater to sustainable level.

Written Public Comments Received After the July 23, 2021 Deadline[1]

After the public comment period ended, LSGCD received 7 additional written comments summarized below. The written comments are included in **Attachment _.**

1. Adel Brown submitted written comments on August 19, 2021, expressing a concern over subsidence because it is irreversible and stating that causing negligent subsidence is a violation of Texas law.

2. Charlene Glover submitted written comments on August 19, 2021, expressing a concern over subsidence because it is irreversible and stating that causing negligent subsidence is a violation of Texas law.

3. Ann Milton submitted written comments on August 19, 2021, expressing a concern over subsidence because it is irreversible and stating that causing negligent subsidence is a violation of Texas law.

4. Tammie Bailey submitted written comments on August 19, 2021, expressing a concern over subsidence because it is irreversible and stating that causing negligent subsidence is a violation of Texas law.

5. Yvonne Barnett submitted written comments on August 20, 2021, expressing a concern over subsidence because it is irreversible and stating that causing negligent subsidence is a violation of Texas law.

[1] The original deadline to submit public comments was July 19, 2021. At the July board meeting, LSGCD's Board of Directors extended the deadline to 5:00 p.m. on July 23, 2021.

6. An unnamed person from an email address of “governorldb” submitted comments no August 19, 2021, expressing a concern over subsidence because it is irreversible and stating that causing negligent subsidence is a violation of Texas law.

7. Houston Public Works submitted comments on August 3, 2021, stating that it supports the currently proposed DFC statement. Under the old invalidated regulatory plan, the city submitted a GRP plan to the District that previously took subsidence into consideration. The city believes the District has adequate monitoring stations to measure subsidence.